lease type a plus sign (+) inside this box [+]

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. Department of Commerce

10001FP 100			ent of Commerce	Application Number		10/053,246		
/ Rev. 10/95	ev. 10/95 Patent and Trademark Office			Filing Date			/2002	
MAY 2 8 2002	2				First Named Inventor		ey M. Andreae	
1	FRANS		Group Art Unit Examiner Name		1773			
(to be used	(to be used or all correspondence after initial filing)  total Number of pages in this Submission 3							
Potal Numb	er of pages	s in this Subm	ission 3	Attorney Docket	Number	720-0	0023	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
1		_		_				
	☐ Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Assignment				wance	
☐ Fee Attached			(for an Appl	ication)			ommunication To Group	
☐ Amend	☐ Amendment/Response			☐ Drawing(s)		☐ Appeal Communication to		
	☐ After final		Diawing(s)		Board Of Appeals and			
				☐ Licensing-related Papers		Interferences		
☐ Extens	☐ Extension of Time Request							
1			☐ Petition Checklist and		☐ Appeal Communication to			
	☐ Express Abandonment		Accompanying Petition		Group ( Appeal Notice, Brief,			
Request				Reply Brief)				
FR Information Birely			☐ To Convert a Provisional					
☐ Information Disclosure			Application		☐ Pro	prietar	y Information	
Statement/PTO-1449		G Status Latter						
☐ Certified Copy of Priority		☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation, Change of		☐ Status Letter				
Document(s)				dence Address	IXI Ad	ditional Enclosure(s)		
					☑ Additional Enclosure(s)     (Please identify below)			
☐ Response to Missing Parts/			☐ Terminal Disclaimer		Return receipt postcard			
Incomplete Application					Re	turn rece	eipt postcard	
☐Response to Missing								
Parts Under 37 1.52 or 1.53								
						<del></del>		
·			Remarks	A		•		
						h.,		
			May May					
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  Firm Fugene R. Sawall (Reg. No. 17.431)								
1 2 2 0 1 200							1200	
1			100			1130		
CIONATURE OF ARRUPANT ATTORNEY OF ACTIVE								
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Eugene R. Sawall (Reg. No. 17.431)								
Or	SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT  Eugene R. Sawall (Reg. No. 17,431) ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100, Milwaukee, WI 53202 House ature  May 9, 2002							
	Individual Name 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1100, Milwaukee, WI 53202							
			1	.///	54-0	- PA	O. A.	
Signature		C-	-\l.\l.\l			1	(LANDER	
May 9 2002			- Jan W					
Date Way 9, 2002						\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class								
mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:								
May 9, 2002								
					May 9, 2	2002		
<del></del>		T = -	<del></del>					
Typed or printed name Barbara A. Johnson								
I signature								
Signature Date 5/9/02								

ŧ



In re Application of: BRADLEY M. ANDREAE

et al.

Serial No. 10/053,246

Filed: January 15, 2002

Title: A METHOD OF ELECTROCOATING OF

SMALL PARTS WITH VARIOUS PAINTS

PATENT APPLICATION

**Group Art Unit:** 

Examiner:

Attorney Docket No. 720-00023

SUPPLEMENTAL NFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT 3 12002

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

More than one year prior to the filing date of the captioned application, certain employees of SST SYSTEMS, INC. ("SST") approached a potential customer with an idea for a method of curing parts in the same container in which they had been coated. At the time of approaching the customer, there had been no actual reduction to practice of the apparatus that could be used to achieve such a method. The customer agreed to purchase an apparatus that may have been used in performing this method, and agreed to make payments over time for the apparatus. It was made clear by SST, and clearly understood by the customer, that installation of the equipment was being done for experimental purposes. At the time the customer was approached, SST was unaware if the apparatus would perform the desired function. Thus, experimentation was needed to convince the inventors that the invention was capable of performing its intended purpose in its intended environment. The customer was aware that the equipment might not work in the manner intended, and was told that the machine would be removed and

> I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 Barbara A. Johnson Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

and their money refunded in the event that SST could not eventually produce a machine that performed its intended purpose. Following installation of the machine, SST personnel made numerous adjustments that included, for example, changes to the barrel container housing the parts being coated and cured; the barrel as initially installed did not work properly and thus the adjustments were necessary for the barrel to perform its intended purpose at the customer's facility. The machine was not completely installed until January 20, 2001 and was not operated for the first time for coating and curing parts until several days later. Final payment was not received on the equipment until after it was determined that the equipment was capable of carrying out a method for both coating and curing parts in the same container.

Respectfully submitted,

EUGENE R. SAWALL Registration No. 17,431 Attorney for Applicant

Telephone No. (414) 271-7590 Facsimile No. (414) 271-5770